

congruences modulo p , algebraic independence and monodromy

VARGAS-MONTOYA DANIEL

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE

Joint Conference DRN+EFI
Anglet, France
June 14, 2024

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$.

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$. The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is \mathbb{F}_p .

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$. The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is \mathbb{F}_p .

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ then we have

$$f|_p(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a(n) \bmod p) z^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[z]] \text{ (the **reduction modulo } p \text{ of } f\text{)}.**$$

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$. The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is \mathbb{F}_p .

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ then we have

$$f|_p(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a(n) \bmod p) z^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[z]] \text{ (the **reduction modulo } p \text{ of } f\text{).}**$$

Definition

Let $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is **algebraic modulo** p if:

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$. The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is \mathbb{F}_p .

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ then we have

$$f|_p(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a(n) \bmod p) z^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[z]] \text{ (the **reduction modulo } p \text{ of } f\text{).}**$$

Definition

Let $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is **algebraic modulo** p if:

① $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$,

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$. The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is \mathbb{F}_p .

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ then we have

$$f|_p(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a(n) \bmod p) z^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[z]] \text{ (the **reduction modulo } p \text{ of } f\text{).}**$$

Definition

Let $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is **algebraic modulo** p if:

- 1 $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$,
- 2 the power series $f|_p$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{F}_p(z)$.

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$. The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is \mathbb{F}_p .

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ then we have

$$f|_p(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a(n) \bmod p) z^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[z]] \text{ (the **reduction modulo } p \text{ of } f\text{).}**$$

Definition

Let $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is **algebraic modulo** p if:

- 1 $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$,
- 2 the power series $f|_p$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{F}_p(z)$.

Given a set \mathcal{S} of **prime** numbers,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) = \{f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]] \text{ such that } \forall p \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) \text{ is **algebraic modulo } p\}.**$$

ALGEBRAICITY MODULO p

$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the ring of **rational numbers** a/b such that $(a, b) = 1$ and $(p, b) = 1$. The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is \mathbb{F}_p .

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ then we have

$$f|_p(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a(n) \bmod p) z^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[z]] \text{ (the **reduction modulo } p \text{ of } f\text{).}**$$

Definition

Let $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is **algebraic modulo** p if:

- 1 $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$,
- 2 the power series $f|_p$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{F}_p(z)$.

Given a set \mathcal{S} of **prime** numbers,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) = \{f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]] \text{ such that } \forall p \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) \text{ is **algebraic modulo } p\}.**$$

If $f(z)$ is **algebraic modulo** p , $\deg(f|_p)$ is the degree of the **minimal polynomial** of $f|_p$.

p -LUCAS CONGRUENCES

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if:

p -LUCAS CONGRUENCES

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if:

- $a(0) = 1$,

p -LUCAS CONGRUENCES

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if:

- $a(0) = 1$,
- for all $n \geq 0$, $a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$,

p -LUCAS CONGRUENCES

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if:

- $a(0) = 1$,
- for all $n \geq 0$, $a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$,
- for all integers $m \geq 0$ and for all $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we have

$$a(mp + r) \equiv a(m)a(r) \pmod{p}.$$

p -LUCAS CONGRUENCES

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if:

- $a(0) = 1$,
- for all $n \geq 0$, $a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$,
- for all integers $m \geq 0$ and for all $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we have

$$a(mp + r) \equiv a(m)a(r) \pmod{p}.$$

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$. Then, $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if and only if

$$f|_p(z) = A_p(z)f|_p(z)^p, \text{ where } A_p(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} (a(n) \pmod{p}) z^n.$$

p -LUCAS CONGRUENCES

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if:

- $a(0) = 1$,
- for all $n \geq 0$, $a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$,
- for all integers $m \geq 0$ and for all $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we have

$$a(mp + r) \equiv a(m)a(r) \pmod{p}.$$

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$. Then, $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if and only if

$$f|_p(z) = A_p(z)f|_p(z)^p, \text{ where } A_p(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} (a(n) \pmod{p}) z^n.$$

- If $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is p -Lucas then $f(z)$ is algebraic modulo p .

p -LUCAS CONGRUENCES

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$. The power series $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if:

- $a(0) = 1$,
- for all $n \geq 0$, $a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$,
- for all integers $m \geq 0$ and for all $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we have

$$a(mp + r) \equiv a(m)a(r) \pmod{p}.$$

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$. Then, $f(z)$ is p -Lucas if and only if

$$f|_p(z) = A_p(z)f|_p(z)^p, \text{ where } A_p(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} (a(n) \pmod{p}) z^n.$$

- If $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is p -Lucas then $f(z)$ is algebraic modulo p .
- Most of the power series that are p -Lucas for infinitely many prime numbers p are G -functions.

HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

Among these G -functions, we have hypergeometric series and diagonal of rational functions.

HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

Among these G -functions, we have hypergeometric series and diagonal of rational functions.

Given $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and
 $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^{n-1}$,

HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

Among these **G-functions**, we have **hypergeometric series** and **diagonal of rational functions**.

Given $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^{n-1}$, the **hypergeometric series** associated to $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ is the power series

$${}_nF_{n-1}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}; z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(\alpha_1)_j \cdots (\alpha_n)_j}{(\beta_1)_j \cdots (\beta_{n-1})_j!} z^j \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]],$$

where for a **real** number x , $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_j = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (x + i)$ for $j > 0$.

HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

Among these **G-functions**, we have **hypergeometric series** and **diagonal of rational functions**.

Given $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^{n-1}$, the **hypergeometric series** associated to $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ is the power series

$${}_nF_{n-1}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}; z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(\alpha_1)_j \cdots (\alpha_n)_j}{(\beta_1)_j \cdots (\beta_{n-1})_j j!} z^j \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]],$$

where for a **real number** x , $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_j = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (x+i)$ for $j > 0$. For example, the **hypergeometric series**

$${}_2F_1((1/5, 1/5), 2/7; z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(1/5)_j^2}{(2/7)_j j!} z^j$$

is **p -Lucas** for all $p \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$.

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathcal{D}_d the image of Δ_d

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathfrak{D}_d the image of Δ_d and $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d > 0} \mathfrak{D}_d$.

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathfrak{D}_d the image of Δ_d and $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d > 0} \mathfrak{D}_d$. We say that $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is the **diagonal** of a **rational function** if $f(z) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathfrak{D}_d the image of Δ_d and $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d > 0} \mathfrak{D}_d$. We say that $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is the **diagonal** of a **rational function** if $f(z) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

- For every $r \geq 1$, we define

$$f_r(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathfrak{D}_d the image of Δ_d and $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d > 0} \mathfrak{D}_d$. We say that $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is the **diagonal** of a **rational function** if $f(z) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

- For every $r \geq 1$, we define

$$f_r(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Thanks to **Lucas' Theorem**, $f_r(z)$ is **p -Lucas** $\forall p$.

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathfrak{D}_d the image of Δ_d and $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d > 0} \mathfrak{D}_d$. We say that $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is the **diagonal** of a **rational function** if $f(z) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

- For every $r \geq 1$, we define

$$f_r(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Thanks to **Lucas' Theorem**, $f_r(z)$ is p -Lucas $\forall p$.

- The generating power series of **Apéry's numbers**

$$t(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \right) z^n \in \mathfrak{D}$$

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathfrak{D}_d the image of Δ_d and $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d > 0} \mathfrak{D}_d$. We say that $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is the **diagonal** of a **rational function** if $f(z) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

- For every $r \geq 1$, we define

$$f_r(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Thanks to **Lucas' Theorem**, $f_r(z)$ is p -Lucas $\forall p$.

- The generating power series of **Apéry's numbers**

$$t(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \right) z^n \in \mathfrak{D}$$

Thanks to a result of **Gessel (1982)**, $t(z)$ is p -Lucas $\forall p$.

DIAGONALS

Let $\Delta_d : \mathbb{Q}[[z_1, \dots, z_d]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$,

$$\Delta_d\left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_d^{i_d}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(i_n, \dots, i_n)} z^n.$$

\mathfrak{D}_d the image of Δ_d and $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{d > 0} \mathfrak{D}_d$. We say that $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is the **diagonal** of a **rational function** if $f(z) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

- For every $r \geq 1$, we define

$$f_r(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Thanks to **Lucas' Theorem**, $f_r(z)$ is p -Lucas $\forall p$.

- The generating power series of **Apéry's numbers**

$$t(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \right) z^n \in \mathfrak{D}$$

Thanks to a result of **Gessel (1982)**, $t(z)$ is p -Lucas $\forall p$.

TRANSCENDENTAL SERIES

- ① **Conjecture (Stanley (1980))**. The power series $f_r(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ for all integers $r \geq 2$.

TRANSCENDENTAL SERIES

- 1 **Conjecture** (Stanley (1980)). The power series $f_r(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ for all integers $r \geq 2$.
- 2 This **conjecture** was proven by **Sharif–Woodcock (1989)**.

TRANSCENDENTAL SERIES

- 1 **Conjecture** (Stanley (1980)). The power series $f_r(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ for all integers $r \geq 2$.
- 2 This **conjecture** was proven by Sharif–Woodcock (1989). They show that the sequence $\{deg(f_{r|p}(z))\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is not **bounded**.

TRANSCENDENTAL SERIES

- 1 **Conjecture** (Stanley (1980)). The power series $f_r(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ for all integers $r \geq 2$.
- 2 This **conjecture** was proven by Sharif–Woodcock (1989). They show that the sequence $\{\deg(f_{r|p}(z))\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is not **bounded**. To do this, they use the fact that $f_r(z)$ is **p -Lucas** for all p .

TRANSCENDENTAL SERIES

- 1 **Conjecture (Stanley (1980))**. The power series $f_r(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ for all integers $r \geq 2$.
- 2 This **conjecture** was proven by **Sharif–Woodcock (1989)**. They show that the sequence $\{\deg(f_{r|p}(z))\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is not **bounded**. To do this, they use the fact that $f_r(z)$ is **p -Lucas** for all p .
- 3 **Allouche, Gouyou-Beauchamps and Skordev (1999)** showed that if $f(z)$ is **p -Lucas** for almost prime number p then, $f(z)$ is **algebraic** if and only if, there is a **polynomial** $A(z)$ the degree less than or equal to 2 such that $A(0) = 1$ and $f = (1/A)^{1/2}$.

TRANSCENDENTAL SERIES

- 1 **Conjecture (Stanley (1980))**. The power series $f_r(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ for all integers $r \geq 2$.
- 2 This **conjecture** was proven by **Sharif–Woodcock (1989)**. They show that the sequence $\{\deg(f_{r|p}(z))\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is not **bounded**. To do this, they use the fact that $f_r(z)$ is **p -Lucas** for all p .
- 3 **Allouche, Gouyou-Beauchamps and Skordev (1999)** showed that if $f(z)$ is **p -Lucas** for almost prime number p then, $f(z)$ is **algebraic** if and only if, there is a **polynomial** $A(z)$ the degree less than or equal to 2 such that $A(0) = 1$ and $f = (1/A)^{1/2}$. In view of this result, $t(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

TRANSCENDENTAL SERIES

- 1 **Conjecture (Stanley (1980))**. The power series $f_r(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ for all integers $r \geq 2$.
- 2 This **conjecture** was proven by **Sharif–Woodcock (1989)**. They show that the sequence $\{\deg(f_{r|p}(z))\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ is not **bounded**. To do this, they use the fact that $f_r(z)$ is **p -Lucas** for all p .
- 3 **Allouche, Gouyou-Beauchamps and Skordev (1999)** showed that if $f(z)$ is **p -Lucas** for almost prime number p then, $f(z)$ is **algebraic** if and only if, there is a **polynomial** $A(z)$ the degree less than or equal to 2 such that $A(0) = 1$ and $f = (1/A)^{1/2}$. In view of this result, $t(z)$ is **transcendental** over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- 4 **Q:** Are there **algebraic** relations between $\{f_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ and $t(z)$?

GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

Definition (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of *prime* numbers

GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

Definition (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of *prime* numbers, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$,

GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

Definition (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of *prime* numbers, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$,

- $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$

GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

Definition (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of *prime* numbers, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$,

- $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$
- there exist a positive integer $l > 0$ and a *rational function* $A_p(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z) \cap \mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ such that

$$f_{|p}(z) = A_p(z)f_{|p}(z)^{p^l}$$

GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

Definition (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of *prime* numbers, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$,

- $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$
- there exist a positive integer $l > 0$ and a *rational function* $A_p(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z) \cap \mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ such that

$$f_{|p}(z) = A_p(z)f_{|p}(z)^{p^l}$$

- the *height* of $A_p(z)$ is less than or equal to Cp^l , where C is a constant *independent* of p .

GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

Definition (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of *prime* numbers, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$,

- $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$
- there exist a positive integer $l > 0$ and a *rational function* $A_p(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z) \cap \mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ such that

$$f_{|p}(z) = A_p(z)f_{|p}(z)^{p^l}$$

- the *height* of $A_p(z)$ is less than or equal to Cp^l , where C is a constant *independent* of p .

If $f(z) \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is p -Lucas for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$ then $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Theorem (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z) \in \mathcal{L}(S)$, S infinite.

Theorem (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite. Then, $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ are algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ if and only if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all zero, such that $f_1(z)^{m_1} \cdots f_r(z)^{m_r} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Theorem (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite. Then, $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ are algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ if and only if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all zero, such that $f_1(z)^{m_1} \cdots f_r(z)^{m_r} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$.

ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE

Theorem (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite. Then, $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ are algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ if and only if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all zero, such that $f_1(z)^{m_1} \cdots f_r(z)^{m_r} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Corollary (I)

- 1 The power series $f_2(z), t(z)$

Theorem (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite. Then, $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ are *algebraically dependent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ if and only if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all zero, such that $f_1(z)^{m_1} \cdots f_r(z)^{m_r} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Corollary (I)

- 1 The power series $f_2(z), t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Theorem (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite. Then, $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ are *algebraically dependent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ if and only if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all zero, such that $f_1(z)^{m_1} \cdots f_r(z)^{m_r} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Corollary (I)

- 1 The power series $f_2(z), t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- 2 The power series $\{f_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE

Theorem (Adamczewski-Bell-Delaygue)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite. Then, $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ are *algebraically dependent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ if and only if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all zero, such that $f_1(z)^{m_1} \cdots f_r(z)^{m_r} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Corollary (I)

- 1 The power series $f_2(z), t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- 2 The power series $\{f_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

When does $f(z)$ belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$?

STRATEGY

- Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

STRATEGY

- Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. We give a criterion to determine when a power series belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

STRATEGY

- Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. We give a criterion to determine when a power series belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.
- This criterion is based on the notion of **strong Frobenius structure** for p (sFs).

STRATEGY

- Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. We give a criterion to determine when a power series belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.
- This criterion is based on the notion of **strong Frobenius structure** for p (sFs). (sFs for $p \Rightarrow$ algebraicity modulo p)

STRATEGY

- Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. We give a criterion to determine when a power series belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.
- This criterion is based on the notion of **strong Frobenius structure** for p (sFs). (sFs for $p \Rightarrow$ **algebraicity modulo p**) If $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ is a solution of a differential equation having sFs for p then there exist $a_0(z), \dots, a_k(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k a_j(z) f|_p(z)^{p^j} = 0$$

- Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. We give a criterion to determine when a power series belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.
- This criterion is based on the notion of **strong Frobenius structure** for p (sFs). (sFs for $p \Rightarrow$ **algebraicity modulo p**) If $f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ is a solution of a differential equation having sFs for p then there exist $a_0(z), \dots, a_k(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k a_j(z) f|_p(z)^{p^j} = 0$$

- **Maximal unipotent monodromy** at zero implies

$$f|_p(z) = A_p(z) f|_p(z)^{p^l}.$$

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ w.r.t the p -adic norm.

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ w.r.t the p -adic norm. The field $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ is equipped with the Gauss norm;

$$\left| \frac{\sum_i a_i z^i}{\sum_j b_j z^j} \right|_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{\max |a_i|}{\max |b_j|}.$$

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ w.r.t the p -adic norm. The field $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ is equipped with the Gauss norm;

$$\left| \frac{\sum_i a_i z^i}{\sum_j b_j z^j} \right|_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{\max |a_i|}{\max |b_j|}.$$

The field of analytic elements, denoted E_p , is the completion of $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ w.r.t the Gauss norm.

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ w.r.t the p -adic norm. The field $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ is equipped with the Gauss norm;

$$\left| \frac{\sum_i a_i z^i}{\sum_j b_j z^j} \right|_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{\max |a_i|}{\max |b_j|}.$$

The field of analytic elements, denoted E_p , is the completion of $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ w.r.t the Gauss norm.

- For all prime numbers p , $\mathbb{Q}(z) \subset E_p$,

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ w.r.t the p -adic norm. The field $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ is equipped with the Gauss norm;

$$\left| \frac{\sum_i a_i z^i}{\sum_j b_j z^j} \right|_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{\max |a_i|}{\max |b_j|}.$$

The field of analytic elements, denoted E_p , is the completion of $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ w.r.t the Gauss norm.

- For all prime numbers p , $\mathbb{Q}(z) \subset E_p$,
- the field E_p is equipped with $\frac{d}{dz}$ and $\delta = z \frac{d}{dz}$,

STRONG FROBENIUS STRUCTURE

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ w.r.t the p -adic norm. The field $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ is equipped with the Gauss norm;

$$\left| \frac{\sum_i a_i z^i}{\sum_j b_j z^j} \right|_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{\max |a_i|}{\max |b_j|}.$$

The field of analytic elements, denoted E_p , is the completion of $\mathbb{C}_p(z)$ w.r.t the Gauss norm.

- For all prime numbers p , $\mathbb{Q}(z) \subset E_p$,
- the field E_p is equipped with $\frac{d}{dz}$ and $\delta = z \frac{d}{dz}$,
- the residue field of E_p is $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(z)$.

Definition (Dwork, 1974)

Let L be in $E_p[\delta]$ of order n . We say that L has a *strong Frobenius structure* (sFs) of *period* m , if there is $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in E_p^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ such that, for all *solutions* f of L in a *differential extension* of E_p ,

$$h_1 f(z^{p^m}) + h_2 (\delta f)(z^{p^m}) + \dots + h_n (\delta^{n-1} f)(z^{p^m})$$

is a *solution* of L .

Definition (Dwork, 1974)

Let L be in $E_p[\delta]$ of order n . We say that L has a **strong Frobenius structure (sFs)** of **period m** , if there is $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in E_p^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ such that, for all **solutions f** of L in a **differential extension** of E_p ,

$$h_1 f(z^{p^m}) + h_2 (\delta f)(z^{p^m}) + \dots + h_n (\delta^{n-1} f)(z^{p^m})$$

is a **solution** of L .

- Let L be in $\mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ and p be a **prime** number. We say that L has a **sFs** for p of **period m** if L view as an element of $E_p[\delta]$ has a **sFs** of **period m** .

Theorem (VM)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a(j)z^j$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ solution of $L \in E_p[\delta]$.

Theorem (VM)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a(j)z^j$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ solution of $L \in E_p[\delta]$. If L has a sFs of period h

Theorem (VM)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a(j)z^j$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ solution of $L \in E_p[\delta]$. If L has a sFs of period h then $f|_p$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(z)$ and $\deg(f|_p) \leq p^{n^2 h}$.

Theorem (VM)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a(j)z^j$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ solution of $L \in E_p[\delta]$. If L has a sFs of period h then $f|_p$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(z)$ and $\deg(f|_p) \leq p^{n^2h}$.

More precisely, there are $a_0(z), \dots, a_{n^2h}(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n^2h} a_j(z) f|_p(z)^{p^j} = 0.$$

Theorem (VM)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a(j)z^j$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ solution of $L \in E_p[\delta]$. If L has a sFs of period h then $f|_p$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(z)$ and $\deg(f|_p) \leq p^{n^2h}$.

More precisely, there are $a_0(z), \dots, a_{n^2h}(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n^2h} a_j(z) f|_p(z)^{p^j} = 0.$$

But we are looking for relations of the shape

$$f|_p(z) + A_p(z) f|_p(z)^{p^l} = 0, \quad A_p(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z).$$

Theorem (VM)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a(j)z^j$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$ solution of $L \in E_p[\delta]$. If L has a sFs of period h then $f|_p$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(z)$ and $\deg(f|_p) \leq p^{n^2h}$.

More precisely, there are $a_0(z), \dots, a_{n^2h}(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n^2h} a_j(z) f|_p(z)^{p^j} = 0.$$

But we are looking for relations of the shape

$$f|_p(z) + A_p(z) f|_p(z)^{p^l} = 0, \quad A_p(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z).$$

EXAMPLES : HYPERGEOMETRIC AND PICARD–FUCHS

Let $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, 1)$ be in $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$

EXAMPLES : HYPERGEOMETRIC AND PICARD–FUCHS

Let $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, 1)$ be in $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and $d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}}$ be the **least common multiple** of the denominators of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$.

EXAMPLES : HYPERGEOMETRIC AND PICARD–FUCHS

Let $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, 1)$ be in $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and $d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}}$ be the **least common multiple** of the denominators of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$. **The hypergeometric operator** associated to $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) = -z \prod_{i=1}^n (\delta + \alpha_i) + \prod_{j=1}^n (\delta + \beta_j - 1), \quad \delta = z \frac{d}{dz}$$

EXAMPLES : HYPERGEOMETRIC AND PICARD–FUCHS

Let $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, 1)$ be in $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and $d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}}$ be the **least common multiple** of the denominators of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$. The **hypergeometric operator** associated to $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) = -z \prod_{i=1}^n (\delta + \alpha_i) + \prod_{j=1}^n (\delta + \beta_j - 1), \quad \delta = z \frac{d}{dz}$$

Theorem (III VM)

If $\alpha_i - \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ then, for all **prime** numbers $p > d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}}$, $\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$ has a **sFs** of **period** $\varphi(d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}})$.

EXAMPLES : HYPERGEOMETRIC AND PICARD–FUCHS

Let $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, 1)$ be in $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and $d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}}$ be the **least common multiple** of the denominators of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$. The **hypergeometric operator** associated to $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) = -z \prod_{i=1}^n (\delta + \alpha_i) + \prod_{j=1}^n (\delta + \beta_j - 1), \quad \delta = z \frac{d}{dz}$$

Theorem (III VM)

If $\alpha_i - \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ then, for all **prime** numbers $p > d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}}$, $\mathcal{H}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$ has a **sFs** of **period** $\varphi(d_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}})$.

- If $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)[d/dz]$ is a **Picard–Fuchs** equation then \mathcal{L} has a **sFs** for almost all p .

MUM AT ZERO

Let K be any field. We say that

$$\mathcal{D} = \delta^n + b_1(z)\delta^{n-1} + \cdots + b_{n-1}(z)\delta + b_n(z) \in K(z)[\delta].$$

is **MUM** at zero if, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b_i(z) \in K(z) \cap K[[z]]$ and $b_i(0) = 0$.

MUM AT ZERO

Let K be any field. We say that

$$\mathcal{D} = \delta^n + b_1(z)\delta^{n-1} + \cdots + b_{n-1}(z)\delta + b_n(z) \in K(z)[\delta].$$

is **MUM** at zero if, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b_i(z) \in K(z) \cap K[[z]]$ and $b_i(0) = 0$.

Let \mathcal{D} be in $\mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ and \mathcal{D}_p be in $\mathbb{F}_p(z)[\delta]$ the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{D} .

MUM AT ZERO

Let K be any field. We say that

$$\mathcal{D} = \delta^n + b_1(z)\delta^{n-1} + \cdots + b_{n-1}(z)\delta + b_n(z) \in K(z)[\delta].$$

is **MUM** at zero if, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b_i(z) \in K(z) \cap K[[z]]$ and $b_i(0) = 0$.

Let \mathcal{D} be in $\mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ and \mathcal{D}_p be in $\mathbb{F}_p(z)[\delta]$ the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{D} .

$$\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}\{z\} : \mathcal{D}(f) = 0\},$$

$$\text{Ker}(\mathbb{F}_p((z)), \mathcal{D}_p) = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_p((z)) : \mathcal{D}_p(f) = 0\}.$$

MUM AT ZERO

Let K be any field. We say that

$$\mathcal{D} = \delta^n + b_1(z)\delta^{n-1} + \cdots + b_{n-1}(z)\delta + b_n(z) \in K(z)[\delta].$$

is **MUM** at zero if, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b_i(z) \in K(z) \cap K[[z]]$ and $b_i(0) = 0$.

Let \mathcal{D} be in $\mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ and \mathcal{D}_p be in $\mathbb{F}_p(z)[\delta]$ the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{D} .

$$\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}\{z\} : \mathcal{D}(f) = 0\},$$

$$\text{Ker}(\mathbb{F}_p((z)), \mathcal{D}_p) = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_p((z)) : \mathcal{D}_p(f) = 0\}.$$

It is well-known that if \mathcal{D} is **MUM** at zero then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}) = 1$.

MUM AT ZERO

Let K be any field. We say that

$$\mathcal{D} = \delta^n + b_1(z)\delta^{n-1} + \cdots + b_{n-1}(z)\delta + b_n(z) \in K(z)[\delta].$$

is **MUM** at zero if, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b_i(z) \in K(z) \cap K[[z]]$ and $b_i(0) = 0$.

Let \mathcal{D} be in $\mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ and \mathcal{D}_p be in $\mathbb{F}_p(z)[\delta]$ the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{D} .

$$\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}\{z\} : \mathcal{D}(f) = 0\},$$

$$\text{Ker}(\mathbb{F}_p((z)), \mathcal{D}_p) = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_p((z)) : \mathcal{D}_p(f) = 0\}.$$

It is well-known that if \mathcal{D} is **MUM** at zero then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}) = 1$.

Proposition (I)

If $\mathcal{D}_p \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(z)[\delta]$ is **MUM** at zero then there exists a polynomial $P(z) \in 1 + z\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[z]$ such that $\mathcal{D}_p(P) = 0$ and $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}((z^p))} \text{Ker}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}((z)), \mathcal{D}_p) = 1$.

MAIN RESULT

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of **prime** numbers, the set $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series $f(z) \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that:

MAIN RESULT

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of **prime** numbers, the set $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series $f(z) \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that:

- 1 for every $p \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]],$

MAIN RESULT

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of **prime** numbers, the set $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series $f(z) \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that:

- 1 for every $p \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]],$
- 2 $f(z)$ is a solution of a differential operator $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ having a **sFs** for every $p \in \mathcal{S}.$

MAIN RESULT

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of **prime** numbers, the set $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series $f(z) \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that:

- 1 for every $p \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]],$
- 2 $f(z)$ is a solution of a differential operator $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ having a **sFs** for every $p \in \mathcal{S}.$
- 3 $f(z)$ is a solution of a **MUM** differential operator $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta].$

MAIN RESULT

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of **prime** numbers, the set $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of power series $f(z) \in 1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that:

- 1 for every $p \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]],$
- 2 $f(z)$ is a solution of a differential operator $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta]$ having a **sFs** for every $p \in \mathcal{S}.$
- 3 $f(z)$ is a solution of a **MUM** differential operator $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{Q}(z)[\delta].$

Theorem (I, VM)

Let \mathcal{S} be an **infinite** set of prime numbers. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$ then there exist a set $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ and a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ is **finite** and, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}'$,

$$f|_p(z) = A_p(z)f|_p(z)^{p^l},$$

where $A_p(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ whose **height** is bounded by $Cp^{2l}.$

THE RESULT IS OPTIMAL

There are power series in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ for any infinite set \mathcal{S} of prime numbers.

THE RESULT IS OPTIMAL

There are power series in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ for any infinite set \mathcal{S} of prime numbers. Let us consider the power series

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}[[z]], r \geq 2$$

THE RESULT IS OPTIMAL

There are power series in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ for any **infinite** set \mathcal{S} of prime numbers. Let us consider the power series

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n} z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}[[z]], r \geq 2$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{S} be an **infinite** set of prime numbers. Then, g_2 does not belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

THE RESULT IS OPTIMAL

There are power series in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ for any infinite set \mathcal{S} of prime numbers. Let us consider the power series

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n} z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}[[z]], r \geq 2$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{S} be an infinite set of prime numbers. Then, g_2 does not belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

But, for every $r \geq 2$, $g_r \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \{2\})$

THE RESULT IS OPTIMAL

There are power series in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ for any **infinite** set \mathcal{S} of prime numbers. Let us consider the power series

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n} z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}[[z]], r \geq 2$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{S} be an **infinite** set of prime numbers. Then, g_2 does not belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

But, for every $r \geq 2$, $g_r \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \{2\})$ because g_r is solution of the **hypergeometric operator**

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \delta^2 - 4^r z (\delta + 1/2) (\delta - 1/2)^{r-1}.$$

THE RESULT IS OPTIMAL

There are power series in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ for any infinite set \mathcal{S} of prime numbers. Let us consider the power series

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n} z^n \in 1 + z\mathbb{Z}[[z]], r \geq 2$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{S} be an infinite set of prime numbers. Then, g_2 does not belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

But, for every $r \geq 2$, $g_r \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \{2\})$ because g_r is solution of the hypergeometric operator

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \delta^2 - 4^r z (\delta + 1/2) (\delta - 1/2)^{r-1}.$$

which is MUM at zero and, according to Theorem III, has a sFs for every $p > 2$

MORE GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

$$\Lambda_p\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n. \text{ (Cartier operator).}$$

MORE GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

$$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n. \text{ (Cartier operator).}$$

Theorem (II, VM)

Let \mathcal{S} be an *infinite* set of prime numbers. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$. If, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists an integer $l_p > 0$ such that $\Lambda_p^{l_p}(f(z))|_p = f|_p$ then $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}')$, where $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ is *finite*.

MORE GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

$$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n. \text{ (Cartier operator).}$$

Theorem (II, VM)

Let \mathcal{S} be an *infinite* set of prime numbers. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$. If, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists an integer $l_p > 0$ such that $\Lambda_p^{l_p}(f(z))|_p = f|_p$ then $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}')$, where $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ is *finite*.

By using this theorem, we can show that $f_r(z)$, $r \geq 1$ and $t(z)$ belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{J})$, where \mathcal{J} is a *finite* set of prime numbers.

MORE GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

$$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n. \text{ (Cartier operator).}$$

Theorem (II, VM)

Let \mathcal{S} be an *infinite* set of prime numbers. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$. If, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists an integer $l_p > 0$ such that $\Lambda_p^{l_p}(f(z))|_p = f|_p$ then $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}')$, where $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ is *finite*.

By using this theorem, we can show that $f_r(z)$, $r \geq 1$ and $t(z)$ belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{J})$, where \mathcal{J} is a *finite* set of prime numbers. In a more significantly way, amongst the 400 power series in the paper : **Tables of Calabi-Yau equations**,

MORE GENERALIZED p -LUCAS

$$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n. \text{ (Cartier operator).}$$

Theorem (II, VM)

Let \mathcal{S} be an *infinite* set of prime numbers. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$. If, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists an integer $l_p > 0$ such that $\Lambda_p^{l_p}(f(z))|_p = f|_p$ then $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}')$, where $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ is *finite*.

By using this theorem, we can show that $f_r(z)$, $r \geq 1$ and $t(z)$ belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{J})$, where \mathcal{J} is a *finite* set of prime numbers. In a more significantly way, amongst the 400 power series in the paper : **Tables of Calabi-Yau equations**, we use this theorem to show that 242 of them belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{J})$, where \mathcal{J} is a *finite* set of prime numbers.

Theorem (VM)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ be in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite

Theorem (VM)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ be in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite and let $g_1(z), \dots, g_r(z)$ be power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $g_i(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$.

Theorem (VM)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ be in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite and let $g_1(z), \dots, g_r(z)$ be power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $g_i(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$. Suppose that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there is an integer $l_{p,i} > 0$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^{2l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p}) = g_{i|p} = \Lambda_p^{l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p}).$$

ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE

Theorem (VM)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ be in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite and let $g_1(z), \dots, g_r(z)$ be power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $g_i(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$. Suppose that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there is an integer $l_{p,i} > 0$ such that

$\Lambda_p^{2l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p}) = g_{i|p} = \Lambda_p^{l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p})$. If g_1, \dots, g_r are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ then f_1, \dots, f_r are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE

Theorem (VM)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ be in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite and let $g_1(z), \dots, g_r(z)$ be power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $g_i(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$. Suppose that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there is an integer $l_{p,i} > 0$ such that

$\Lambda_p^{2l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p}) = g_{i|p} = \Lambda_p^{l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p})$. If g_1, \dots, g_r are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ then f_1, \dots, f_r are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Remark : Under the assumptions of this theorem, we show that $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}')$, where $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ is finite.

ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE

Theorem (VM)

Let $f_1(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ be in $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} infinite and let $g_1(z), \dots, g_r(z)$ be power series in $1 + z\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ such that, for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $g_i(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[z]]$. Suppose that, for all $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there is an integer $l_{p,i} > 0$ such that

$\Lambda_p^{2l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p}) = g_{i|p} = \Lambda_p^{l_{p,i}}(f_{i|p})$. If g_1, \dots, g_r are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ then f_1, \dots, f_r are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Remark : Under the assumptions of this theorem, we show that $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}')$, where $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ is finite. So, we can see this theorem as a result of algebraic independence transfer from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ to $\mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{S})$.

Corollary (II)

- 1 The power series $\{g_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Corollary (II)

- 1 The power series $\{g_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- 2 The power series $g_2(z)$ and the power series $t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Corollary (II)

- ① The power series $\{g_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- ② The power series $g_2(z)$ and the power series $t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

1) Recall that

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n, \quad f_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n.$$

Corollary (II)

- 1 The power series $\{g_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- 2 The power series $g_2(z)$ and the power series $t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

1) Recall that

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n, \quad f_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n.$$

Then, **Lucas' Theorem** implies that, for all prime numbers p , we have

$$\Lambda_p^2(g_r)_{|p} = f_{r|p} = \Lambda_p(g_r)_{|p}$$

Corollary (II)

- 1 The power series $\{g_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- 2 The power series $g_2(z)$ and the power series $t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

1) Recall that

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n, \quad f_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n.$$

Then, **Lucas' Theorem** implies that, for all prime numbers p , we have

$$\Lambda_p^2(g_r)_{|p} = f_{r|p} = \Lambda_p(g_r)_{|p}$$

But $r \geq 2$, $g_r \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \{2\})$ and, according to **corollary I**, the power series $\{f_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Corollary (II)

- 1 The power series $\{g_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.
- 2 The power series $g_2(z)$ and the power series $t(z)$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

1) Recall that

$$g_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-1}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n, \quad f_r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n}^r z^n.$$

Then, **Lucas' Theorem** implies that, for all prime numbers p , we have

$$\Lambda_p^2(g_r)_{|p} = f_{r|p} = \Lambda_p(g_r)_{|p}$$

But $r \geq 2$, $g_r \in \mathcal{MF}(\mathcal{P} \setminus \{2\})$ and, according to **corollary I**, the power series $\{f_r\}_{r \geq 2}$ are *algebraically independent* over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

IDEA OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM V

$$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n. \text{ (Cartier operator).}$$

IDEA OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM V

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_p\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n\right) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n. \text{ (Cartier operator).} \\ \Lambda_p(gh^p) &= \Lambda_p(g)h.\end{aligned}$$

IDEA OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM V

$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n$. (**Cartier operator**).

$\Lambda_p(gh^p) = \Lambda_p(g)h$.

- As $f|_p(z)$ is solution of \mathcal{D}_p then, by **Proposition I**, we get $f|_p(z) = c(z^p)P(z)$, where $c(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p((z))$. Whence,

$$f|_p(z) = B_0 \Lambda_p(f(z))^p, \quad B_0 = \frac{P(z)}{\Lambda_p(P(z))}.$$

IDEA OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM V

$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n$. (**Cartier operator**).

$\Lambda_p(gh^p) = \Lambda_p(g)h$.

- As $f|_p(z)$ is solution of \mathcal{D}_p then, by **Proposition I**, we get $f|_p(z) = c(z^p)P(z)$, where $c(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p((z))$. Whence,

$$f|_p(z) = B_0 \Lambda_p(f(z))^p, \quad B_0 = \frac{P(z)}{\Lambda_p(P(z))}.$$

- Moreover, we show that the **degree** of $P(z)$ is less than or equal to $nrp - 1$, where n is the order of \mathcal{D} and r is the number of **singular points** of \mathcal{D} .

IDEA OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM V

$\Lambda_p(\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(np)z^n$. (**Cartier operator**).

$$\Lambda_p(gh^p) = \Lambda_p(g)h.$$

- As $f|_p(z)$ is solution of \mathcal{D}_p then, by **Proposition I**, we get $f|_p(z) = c(z^p)P(z)$, where $c(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p((z))$. Whence,

$$f|_p(z) = B_0 \Lambda_p(f(z))^p, \quad B_0 = \frac{P(z)}{\Lambda_p(P(z))}.$$

- Moreover, we show that the **degree** of $P(z)$ is less than or equal to $nrp - 1$, where n is the order of \mathcal{D} and r is the number of **singular points** of \mathcal{D} .
- Thus, the **height** of B_0 is less than or equal to $nrp - 1$.

KEY POINT

For all integers $k \geq 1$, we construct a differential operator

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \delta^n + e_1 \delta^{n-1} + \cdots + e_{n-1} \delta + e_n \in \mathfrak{v}_{E_p}[\delta]$$

such that :

KEY POINT

For all integers $k \geq 1$, we construct a differential operator

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \delta^n + e_1 \delta^{n-1} + \cdots + e_{n-1} \delta + e_n \in \vartheta_{E_p}[\delta]$$

such that :

- $\Lambda_p^k(f)$ is a solution of \mathcal{H}_k .

KEY POINT

For all integers $k \geq 1$, we construct a differential operator

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \delta^n + e_1 \delta^{n-1} + \cdots + e_{n-1} \delta + e_n \in \vartheta_{E_p}[\delta]$$

such that :

- $\Lambda_p^k(f)$ is a solution of \mathcal{H}_k .
- the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{H}_k is **MUM** at zero,

KEY POINT

For all integers $k \geq 1$, we construct a differential operator

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \delta^n + e_1 \delta^{n-1} + \cdots + e_{n-1} \delta + e_n \in \mathfrak{v}_{E_p}[\delta]$$

such that :

- $\Lambda_p^k(f)$ is a solution of \mathcal{H}_k .
- the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{H}_k is **MUM** at zero,
- the **singular points** of $\mathcal{H}_k \bmod p$ is at most r .

KEY POINT

For all integers $k \geq 1$, we construct a differential operator

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \delta^n + e_1 \delta^{n-1} + \cdots + e_{n-1} \delta + e_n \in \mathfrak{v}_{E_p}[\delta]$$

such that :

- $\Lambda_p^k(f)$ is a solution of \mathcal{H}_k .
- the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{H}_k is **MUM** at zero,
- the **singular points** of $\mathcal{H}_k \bmod p$ is at most r .

Consequently, for all $k \geq 0$, there is $B_k(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ whose **height** is less than or equal to $pnr - 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^k(f)|_p = B_k(z) \Lambda_p^{k+1}(f)|_p^p.$$

KEY POINT

For all integers $k \geq 1$, we construct a differential operator

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \delta^n + e_1 \delta^{n-1} + \cdots + e_{n-1} \delta + e_n \in \mathfrak{v}_{E_p}[\delta]$$

such that :

- $\Lambda_p^k(f)$ is a solution of \mathcal{H}_k .
- the reduction modulo p of \mathcal{H}_k is **MUM** at zero,
- the **singular points** of $\mathcal{H}_k \bmod p$ is at most r .

Consequently, for all $k \geq 0$, there is $B_k(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ whose **height** is less than or equal to $pnr - 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^k(f)|_p = B_k(z) \Lambda_p^{k+1}(f)|_p^p.$$

Thus, for all $k \geq 0$ and all $l \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\Lambda_p^k(f)|_p = A_{k,l}(z) \Lambda_p^{k+l}(f)|_p^{p^l},$$

where $A_{k,l} = B_k(B_{k+1})^p \cdots B_{k+l-1}(z)^{p^{l-1}}$ and $H(A_{k,l}) \leq nrp^l$.

LAST STEP

We also show that there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^l(f)|_p(z) = \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p(z).$$

LAST STEP

We also show that there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^l(f)|_p(z) = \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p(z).$$

But we know that

$$f(z)|_p = A_{0,l} \Lambda_p^l(f)|_p^{p^l} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p = A_{l,l} \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p^{p^l}.$$

LAST STEP

We also show that there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^l(f)|_p(z) = \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p(z).$$

But we know that

$$f(z)|_p = A_{0,l} \Lambda_p^l(f)|_p^{p^l} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p = A_{l,l} \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p^{p^l}.$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\frac{\Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p}{f(z)|_p} = \frac{A_{l,l}}{A_{0,l}}.$$

LAST STEP

We also show that there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^l(f)|_p(z) = \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p(z).$$

But we know that

$$f(z)|_p = A_{0,l} \Lambda_p^l(f)|_p^{p^l} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p = A_{l,l} \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p^{p^l}.$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\frac{\Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p}{f(z)|_p} = \frac{A_{l,l}}{A_{0,l}}.$$

Consequently,

$$f(z)|_p = A_{0,l} \left(\frac{\Lambda_p^l(f)|_p}{f|_p} \right)^{p^l} f|_p^{p^l} = A_{0,l} \left(\frac{A_{l,l}}{A_{0,l}} \right)^{p^l} f|_p^{p^l}$$

LAST STEP

We also show that there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_p^l(f)|_p(z) = \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p(z).$$

But we know that

$$f(z)|_p = A_{0,l} \Lambda_p^l(f)|_p^{p^l} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p = A_{l,l} \Lambda_p^{2l}(f)|_p^{p^l}.$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\frac{\Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p}{f(z)|_p} = \frac{A_{l,l}}{A_{0,l}}.$$

Consequently,

$$f(z)|_p = A_{0,l} \left(\frac{\Lambda_p^l(f)|_p}{f|_p} \right)^{p^l} f|_p^l = A_{0,l} \left(\frac{A_{l,l}}{A_{0,l}} \right)^{p^l} f|_p^{p^l}$$

But the **height** of $A_{0,l} \left(\frac{A_{l,l}}{A_{0,l}} \right)^{p^l}$ is less than or equal to $n r p^{2l}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM VI

We have already seen that

$$f|_p(z) = A_{0,l_p}(z)\Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p,$$

where $A_{0,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ has **height** less than or equal to $nrp^l - 1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM VI

We have already seen that

$$f|_p(z) = A_{0,l_p}(z)\Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p^{p^l},$$

where $A_{0,l} \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$ has **height** less than or equal to $nrp^l - 1$. By assumption, $\Lambda_p^l(f(z))|_p = f|_p$. Thus,

$$f|_p(z) = A_{0,l_p}(z)f(z)|_p^{p^l}.$$

Whence, f is a generalized p -**Lucas**.